

PMT Meeting #2 - Lake City, Ohuta Beach

Meeting Attendees:

WSB

<input type="checkbox"/>	Jason Amberg, Proj. Principal / Landscape Architect
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Shaunna Berg, Landscape Designer
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Steve Foss, Landscape Architect
<input type="checkbox"/>	

City Council

<input type="checkbox"/>	Amy Alkire - AAlkire@ci.lake-city.mn.us
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jason DeVinny - JDeVinny@ci.lake-city.mn.us
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Nichols - MNichols@ci.lake-city.mn.us
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Russel Boe - RBoe@ci.lake-city.mn.us (alternate)
<input type="checkbox"/>	

City Staff

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Megan Smith, Dir. of Planning and Community Dev.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rob Keehn, City Administrator
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Scott Jensen, Public Works Dir.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jeff Brand, Marina Administrator

Marina Board

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Dieltz - mark@thechipshoppe.com
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mary Jane Rasmussen - mjrasnussen52@icloud.com
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ann Nibbe - ahnibbe@mchsi.com (alternate)
<input type="checkbox"/>	

Streets and Parks

<input type="checkbox"/>	Merri Lea Smith - merrileasmith@gmail.com
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rojean Becker - rojgenbecker@yahoo.com
<input type="checkbox"/>	Charlotte Eastin - mceastin@gmail.com (alternate)

From: Jason Amberg

Date: May 28, 2020

Re: Lake City - Ohuta Beach Site Improvements
WSB Project No. 15605

-
1. Project Updates -
 - a. Survey and geotechnical complete
 - b. Findings from soil borings indicated debris
 - i. Preferred approach for encountering debris
 2. Site Layout -
 - a. Breakwater – optional layout later in presentation
 - b. Trailers – seeking another trailer spot to determine if we can create more space between the 8 relocated trailers
 - c. Shifted the main driveway and adjusted the plaza area
 - d. Plaza – vendor / food truck accommodations
 - e. Raingarden – Mayor expressed concerns about the tightness of the site in regard to the siting of the raingarden and if it could be located elsewhere / if the lawn could be used. WSB stated that the raingarden provided direct treatment from the impervious and acted as a buffer for the splash pad and playground users. We avoided directing water towards an active lawn area to avoid problems with muddy conditions for summer events.
 - f. Open lawn – PMT inquire about synthetic material or reinforcement for vehicular use. City responded stating the plaza provide enough space to accommodate the needs for potential vehicles or vendor set up. Likes that the green space nearly doubled.
 - g. Water ski days – The City stated the layout for vendors varies each year and the site has enough space to accommodate the needs of users and vendors.
 - h. Building – The City acknowledged that the proposed location of the building is outside of the 100 year flood line and due to this the relocation or siting of the building location is restricted in being closer to the waterfront due to this limitation. Description of the building layout was given for a ticket/concession

area off one side, bathrooms centrally located with small hallway or lobby, and storage. WSB and City's Architect need to begin coordination, so WSB can begin design of utility services to the building.

- i. General comment – Mayor expressed concern about the tightness of the park with regard to the number of amenities provided in the design. Would be interested in seeing more perspectives of the site. Many expressed concerns over packing too much into the space.

3. Site Utilities -

- a. Removal and relocation of lift station
- b. Removal storm outlet at beach and reroute to marina
- c. Replacement of existing watermain under roadway

4. Site Grading –

- a. Net zero fill within floodway
- b. Building located above floodway
- c. Rain garden will accommodate required storm event
- d. Grades work for accessibility

5. Site Restoration -

6. Plaza Aesthetics -

- a. Combination of standard gray concrete, with limited decorative pavement and banding accents

7. Site Lighting -

- a. Street lighting
 - Mayor inquired about the estimate for light poles. Mayor felt the price of \$6,500 per light pole was high. City staff acknowledge that based on lighting along roadways can be up to \$10k per light fixture. WSB will review and update cost estimates at 60%.
- b. Accent lighting
 - i. Bollards
 - ii. LED strip lighting

8. Site Amenities –

- Tensile shade canopy – PMT is concerned about fabric material for shade and would prefer a year-round material such as metal. Additionally, PMT would be interested in an alternative outdoor seating option to provide shade in lieu of the tensile shade canopy. It was suggested this could occur on the north eastern side.

9. Playground area –

- PMT would prefer the play equipment to not be overcrowded and different/unique from other parks.
- PMT approved of the nautical theme for the play equipment.
- No swings, would like mostly climb equipment or ropes course.

10. Splash pad area –

- PMT would prefer reducing or eliminating the fencing from the splash pad, specifically adjacent to the walk to the beach.

- It was suggested to help with circulation and prevent active running outside the splash area that seating, or benches could be placed there instead of fencing.

11. Amphitheater area –

- Ice heaving occurs near amphitheater area and concern over pavement cracking was expressed. The city mentioned this is why there is no bandshell or large stage.

12. Breakwater Concept plan -

- a. Improved protection for winds from the north
- b. Potential boater conflict with swimmer area
- c. Pedestrian connection to breakwater and amphitheater
 - Mayor suggested the breakwater be identified as a city dock to promote park equity for the entire community.
 - Mayor is concerned with the amount of foot traffic with the proposed improvement.
 - Mayor acknowledge there is flexibility on the location of the breakwater – doesn't impact funding.
 - Strong wave action from the North.
 - Mayor state that shoreline preservation would be incorporated if possible.
 - Mayor stated that they will be attempting to vote in June regarding funding for the breakwater/city dock.

13. Winter Programming -

- a. Ice skating options
 - i. Turf – not ideal, grading/drainage would conflict with summer use
 - ii. Plaza – slopes are not ideal
 - iii. Refrigerated option – probable costs to exceed \$750k ++
 - iv. Skating on the lake when conditions allow
 - v. Small watercraft access ramp
 - Ramp shall accommodate access for trucks pulling ice houses. Access drive is same width.
- b. Winter festivals (i.e. ice sculptures)
 - Provide enough lighting and have it be subtle in winter to accommodate people in the evening.

14. Next steps -

- a. Collaboration with vendors:
 - i. splash pad equipment
 - ii. play equipment
- b. Collaboration with Architect for access / utilities

15. Cost Estimate Overview: See attachment. -

- a. Estimated costs for lift station and lighting have grown, so we've developed a preliminary list of potential add-ons for discussion and prioritization:
 - i. Removal and replacement of watermain under proposed drive
 - ii. Plaza seat wall
 - iii. Amphitheater area
 - iv. Boarding dock
 - v. Decorative bollards
 - vi. Tensile shade canopy (1)

- vii. Outdoor fireplace
- viii. Accent lighting

16. Park Name (Megan to discuss)

17. Project Management Team Meetings:

- i. ~~#1: April 2, intro to project~~
- ii. #2: May 28, 30% review - design and cost estimate update
- iii. #3: August 13, 60% review - design and cost estimate update
- iv. #4: Sept. 17, review bid package 1 (trailer reloc. and driveway/parking)
- v. #5: Oct. 15, initial splash pad and play equipment discussion
- vi. #6: Nov. 12, review splash pad and play equipment recommendations
- vii. #7: Dec. 10, 90% review - design and cost estimate update
- viii. #8: Jan. 7, review bid package 2

18. Questions / Comments: